Highly Cited Publications Output by India in Computer Science 1996-15: A Scientometric Assessment

B.M. Gupta^{1*} and S.M.Dhawan²

Formely CSIR –NISTADS, New Delhi (Present Address: 1173 Sector 15, Panchkula 134 113, Haryana). INDIA. Formely CSIR –NPL, New Delhi (Present Address: 114 DayanandVihar, Delhi 110092). INDIA.

ABSTRACT

Objectives - The main objective of this research is to identify highly cited papers in India's computer science research and to analyze their publication and citation distributions to understand what role contributing authors, research organizations as well as international collaborative countries have played in Indian computer science research. Design - The publications output of Indian computer science papers published during 1996-2015 were screened in Scopus database and highly cited papers, with at least 100 citations since publication, were identified and shortlisted for their bibliometric analysis. The statistics cover collaboration across authors, institutions, foreign participating countries in the publication of highly cited papers. To assess and compare contributions of authors/institutions, the study used Major Contributor Index (MCI) indicator. Citation trends for all highly cited papers, as well as for top papers, are presented; Results - India published a total of 406 highly cited articles in computer science, constituting 0.32% world share during 1996-15. This study covered only those papers that received at least 100 citations since publication. In recent years, top-cited articles have reached their citation peak in the early years of their citation life cycle, but have shown a more-rapid decreasing trend compared to top-cited articles from past decades. These 406 highly articles have received 104715 citations, with an average citation per paper of 257.92. The leading Indian organizations participating in highly cited research were Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata and Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi (with 46 papers each), Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore (42 papers), Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur (33 papers), Dr S.R. University, Chennai (28 papers), Indian Institute of Technology, Madras and Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur (26 papers each), Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay and Jadavpur University, Kolkata (23 papers each), Microsoft Research, Bangalore (13 papers), IBM India (9 papers), etc. The leading authors were S. Vaidyanathan (21 papers), K. Deb and S. Bandyopadhyay (with 11 papers each), V. Sundarapandian (9 papers), S. Das and N.R. Pal (8 papers each), S.K. Pal (6 papers), N. Garg, P. Sarasu, S.R. Murthy, B.B. Chaudhuri and G.P.S. Raghava (5 papers each), etc. The leading international collaborative countries were USA (138 papers), U.K. (22 papers each), Canada (18 papers), Singapore (15 papers), France (14 papers), Japan (12 papers), Switzerland (10 papers), China and Norway (8 papers), Taiwan, South Korea, Australia and Germany (7 papers each), etc. MCI and citation per paper varied among leading institutions, as well as among individual authors. Conclusions - India's productivity of highly cited papers in computer science by authors is abysmally low and so is its world share which is 0.32%. Its slow growth rate of high quality papers in computer science is a matter of concern. Bulk of the output of high quality and high impact research in computer science in India has resulted from select top academic and research organizations/institutions working in isolation and not in collaboration. The challenge before the top leadership in science in the country is how to encourage team-based/multi-institutional collaborative research in order to produce and publish high quality and high impact research work in computer science.

Key words: Computer Science, India, Highly Cited Papers, Major Contributed Organizations, Authors, International Collaboration, Bibliometrics, Scientometrics.

*Address for correspondence:

B.M. Gupta, Formerly CSIR –NISTADS, New Delhi (Present Address: 1173 Sector 15, Panchkula 134 113, Haryana). INDIA.

Email: bmgupta1@gmail.com

Phone no: 9888378275

Access this article online			
Official Publication of	Website:		
	www.jscires.org		
Phcog Net	DOI: 10.5530/jscires.6.2.1		

INTRODUCTION

India is fast heading towards ICT based digital economy and a global player in providing world class technology solutions and business services. As one of top ranking global IT hubs, India is aggressively focusing on IT exports, foreign direct investment in IT industry. As of 2015 India's IT exports accounted for 4.26 per cent of the global market. India's core competencies and strengths in IT software and hardware have attracted significant

between April 2000 and March 2016.^[1] The Digital India initiative has given IT a secured position inside and outside of computer science research output data indexed in the country. The adoption of key technologies across sectors spurred by the 'Digital India Initiative' could help boost India's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) by US\$ 550 billion to US\$ 1 trillion by 2025.^[1] The Digital India program seeks to transform India into a digitally empowered society with emphasis on e-governance, e-retail, e-utility, e-education, telemedicine and mobile healthcare services 25 years. The study mapped publications trends to demoand making the governance more participative. With demonetization, the country is fast heading towards a digital economy. India's internet economy is expected to touch for funding patterns and policy formulation for scientific Rs 10 trillion (US\$ 146.72 billion) by 2018, accounting for 5 per cent of the country's GDP. India's internet user base has reached over 400 million by May 2016, the third largest in the world, while the number of social media users grew to 143 million by April 2015 and smart phones grew to 160 million. Both large and small and medium scale enterprises in IT industry are finding lucrative opportunities for investment. Given these developments, the IT industry has created significant demand and growth in the Indian academic and government sector, especially for engineering and computer science.^[1] In a time of change towards digital economy, the country needs to restructure and reshape its R&D research base in ICT sector covering critical areas such as cyber security, computing systems and architectures, network infrastructures, software engineering and data management, digital content technologies, and humantechnology interfaces.

Literature Review

Singh, Pramanik and Chakraborty examined comparative research trends in computer science and noted that Indian researchers tend to collaborate with researchers outside of India whereas Chinese tend to work among themselves. They also studied temporal evolution of the collaborative pattern in computer science and shift in research topics defining computer science (CS) research domain.^[2] Das and Karanjai analyzed 1408 research papers contributed by the Indian scientists during 1991-2000 on computer science. They reported that a few institutions, like IITs (located at Kharagpur, Kanpur, Delhi, Chennai and Mumbai), Indian Statistical Institute at Kolkata and Indian Institute of Science (IISc) at Bangalore dominate in computer science research field and accounted for the largest publication share in the country. They concluded that India has potential of carrying out computer science research of international standard.^[3] Gupta, Kshitij and Verma analyzed computer science research published by India during 1999-2008 to understand the comparative status of the country in computer science vis-à-vis countries like China, South Korea, Taiwan factor (IF) data used in this study based on the JCR 2013. and Brazil.^[4] Gupta, Kshitij, Singh analyzed computer science research published by India during 1999-2008 to discover most productive institutions, authors, and high-

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) worth US\$ 21.02 billion cited papers in the country in computer science.^[5] Singh, Uddin and Pinto in a scientometric and text based analysis Scopus during the last 25 years period (1989-2013) sought to identify characteristic similarities and differences in CS research landscape of Indian institutions vis-à-vis world institutions.^[6] Uddina and Singh conducted a scientometric and keyword-based analysis of computer science research published by SAARC countries during the last graphic and economic indicators of the SAARC countries, and presented inferences useful for determining guidelines research in CS domain.^[7] Singhal, Banshal, Uddin, and Singh conducted a scientometrics and text-based analysis of computer science research published by India during the last 25 years. The study presented the status of computer science research in India and identified thematic trends in CS domain.^[8] Gupta, Bala and Sharma analyzed publications output of India in computer science published during 1999-2008. The study ranked the most productive Indian institutions covering institutes of national importance, universities/ deemed universities, industrial enterprises, research institutes, Indian Institute(s) of Information Technology (IIIT(s)), select engineering colleges, and regional engineering colleges(RECs)/National Institutes of Technology (NITs) in computer science research by using a series of publications and citation indicators.^[9]

> It is evident from above that studies that have so far been undertaken so far have sought to focus their attention mainly on quantitative and qualitative analysis of computer science research in India, and not as much on high cited qualitative research. This study instead would seek to address the qualitative dimension of computer science research in the country, analyze highly cited papers in computer science published by India during 1996-2015 on a series of bibliometric indicators with the aim to understand the shift in the quality of research output in computer science over time.

METHODOLOGY

The study derived data on highly-cited papers from the Scopus, an international multidisciplinary bibliographical and citation database as on August 2016 and covered the period from 1996-2015. A highly-cited article (TC2015 ≥ 100) was defined as an article registering at least 100 citations since its publication up to August 2016. In all a total of 406 India's highly cited articles in computer science received at least 100 citations since publication. The journal impact

The study organized publication and citation data into various groups such as (i) first author publications (FP), (ii) cor-

responding author publications (RP), (iii) the number of citations since publication to 2015 referred to as TC2015, (iv) citations received in the year of publications (C0), (v) citations in the first year after publication (C1), (viii) the number of citations received in year 2015 is referred as C2015, (vi) national and international collaborative publications, and (vii) most productive journals etc.

The data was tabulated to determine the quantum of research by publication year, global share of research, research quality, life cycle of research publications, and contribution of different types of Indian authors and organizations in computer science. Indian organizations have been classified into groups such as; (i) institutes of national importance, (ii) research institutes, (iii) universities, (iv) colleges, (v) engineering colleges, (vi) medical and allied colleges, (vii) industrial enterprises and (viii) non-profitable institutions.

The collaboration type was determined from institutional address data of the authors. An article could be either a single-country article, in which all authors' addresses are from the same country, or bilateral or multilateral collaborative article, co-authored by researchers from 2 or more countries.

In a single author article where authorship is unspecified, the single author is presumed both as first author and corresponding author. Similarly, in an article by authors from a single institution, the institution is classified both as the first author institution and the corresponding author institution. In addition, only the first affiliation of corresponding author was considered when the authorship had multiple affiliations.

At the individual level, a non-alphabetical name order sends a clear signal to the market that the author who is Analysis, Results and Discussion listed first actually contributed more. The first author is the person who contributed most to the work and writing of Publications Analysis the article.^[6] The corresponding author is perceived as the author contributing significantly to the article independent India contributed a total of 406 highly cited papers in of the author position.^[7] The corresponding author supervised the planning and execution of the study and the writing of the paper^[8]. It is generally assumed that the first author and the corresponding author play significant roles, and they are acknowledged as the major contributors to a research paper. Thus, in this research, a newly developed indicator as suggested by Chuang and Ho,^[10] the MCI, was used to assess the extent to which a researcher or an publication were considered as highly cited papers (HCPs) institution contributed to publishing an article. The MCI in this study. is calculated as the sum of first-author articles and corresponding articles divided by 2-times the total number of Highly cited papers by India in computer science were published in bulk as research articles (325, 80.05% share) articles. It implies the percentage of instances one takes on the leadership role (first author or corresponding author) out followed by conference papers 41 (10.10%) paper each of the total possible available opportunities. The equation is: as conference papers, 34 (8.37%) as reviews, 3 (0.74%) as

MCI=(FP=RP)/2TP,

Where *FP* is the number of first-author articles, *RP* is the number of corresponding-author articles, and TP is the number of total articles. When the MCI = 0, there is no first- or corresponding-author article. When the MCI = 1, all articles are either first- or corresponding author articles. MCI has two implications. First, it probably indicates a

higher capability or productivity in conducting independent research. Second, it could, as well, indicate a more prominent role in collaborations. On the contrary, a low *MCI* is probably a sign of heavy reliance on collaboration, as well as relying on others to provide a leadership role in conducting research.^[9]

OBJECTIVES

The main objective of this scientometric study is to understand the current status of computer science research in India and to know how has the country changed/improved in its quality and impact of research in IT during the past 20 years covering the period between 1996 and 2015. The study will identify highly cited papers in India's computer science research and to analyze them for their publication and citation distributions in order to understand what role contributing authors, research organizations as well as international collaborative countries have played in Indian computer science research. In particular, the study will focus on (i) India's world share of highly cited papers, (ii) distribution of highly cited papers by publication year, publication mode, and contributing authors and organizations, (iii) comparative share of highly cited papers from stand-alone single institutions and collaborating institutions; (iv) comparative share of highly cited papers from bilateral or multilateral collaboration, and (v) characteristics of top 10 publications on select bibliometric indicators.

computer science in a period 20 years during 1996-2015, accounting for 0.32% world share (world output = 126129 papers). As per data sourced from Scopus database, India's count of highly cited papers in computer science changed on year-to-year basis down from 21 in 1996, the first year of this study period to 3 papers in 2015, the last year of this study period. Papers cited at least 100 times since their




Figure 1: Citation Density of Highly Cited Papers in Computer Science: 1996-2015.




Figure 2: Citation Life Cycle of Top 10 Highly Cited Papers in Computer Science by Publication Year: 1996-2015

books, 2 (0.49%) as letters and 1 (0.25%) as editorial during 1996-2015. As expected, review papers comparatively registered the highest citation impact per paper (363.59), followed by conference papers (323.88), books (294.67%), articles (239.46), letters (124.50) and editorial (117.0) during 1996-2015 (Table 1).

Citations Analysis

India's highly cited papers (406) in computer science cumulated 14059 citations in 20 years during 1996-Aug 2016, averaging to 257.92 citations per paper (CPP) in 20 years period, with annual CPP ranging between 108.33 (lowest in 2015) and 884.89 (highest in 2002). In this study, citations to papers have been counted from their publication year till August 2016; hence citation window in this study is not constant but variable varying from 1–20 years since publication.

Citations to papers are treated as a proxy for describing the quality of research, to judge how highly cited papers inter-compare on quality and impact. CPP as such is not a valid indicator for comparing highly cited papers since their citation window periods are variable, varying from 1-20 years since publication. For comparative citation performance this study used another indicator - 'citation density'. It computes citation density as a ratio: 'citations per paper in a given year' divided by 'corresponding citation window period as # of years'. For example, 'citation density' of 19 highly cited papers - published in the year 2002 – comes as 63.21 citations per paper per citation year (16813/19/14) = 63.21 (Table 2). The citation density of 406 highly cited papers averaged to 12.90 citations per paper per citation year.

Citation density of highly cited papers in computer science research in India registered high rise during 2003-15 up from 17.66 in 2003 to 108.33 CPP/CPY in 2015. Comparatively, citation density during the first six years of this study, 1996-2002 registered moderate rise up from 20.79 in 1996 to 62.21 in 2002. Citation density was the highest with 108.33 CPP/PCY in the year 2015, the smallest with 11.59 CPP/PCY in the year 1998. High citation density data during 2010-15 implies that computer science research in India has indeed gained significant jump in quality and impact.

The citation spectrum of highly cited papers is wide spreading across from 100 to 884.89 citations per paper on one end and 3148-12244 citations per paper on the other. The bulk of highly cited papers (71.43% share) correspond to papers in citation range 100-198 per paper. Only less than 3% highly cited papers correspond to top end citation range 841-12244 citations per paper. This shows that distribution of highly cited papers is highly skewed (Table 3).

Distribution of Highly Cited Papers Output by Contributing Authors

Authorship to 406 highly cited papers varied widely from 1 to 150 authors per paper with an average of 3.86 authors per paper. Sole authorship was limited to 7.14% outputs, joint authorship to 36.45% output, and multiple authorship to 56.40% output. Multiple-authorship (3 -150 authors per paper) in computer science research is increasingly becoming the mode. Of the total of 1567 authors to 406 highly cited papers, 29 contributed one paper each in 20 years, 296 contributed two papers each, 330 contributed

Table 1: Distribution of Highly Cited Papers by Indiaacross Publication Types: 1996-2015				
Type of Publication	TP	тс	СРР	
Articles	325	77824	239.46	
Reviews	34	12362	363.59	
Conference Paper	41	13279	323.88	
Books	3	884	294.67	
Letter	2	249	124.50	
Editorial	1	117	117.00	
Total	406	104715	257.92	
TP-Total papars: TC-Total attations: CPP - Avarage attation per papar				

TP=Total papers; TC=Total citations; CPP = Average citation per paper

Gupta et al.: Highly Cited Publications Output by India in Computer Science

Year			India		
	No. of Highly Cited Papers	Citations Count	СРР	Citation Density	Citation Windo Period in Years
1996	21	8731	415.76	20.79	20
1997	26	5965	229.42	12.07	19
1998	25	5214	208.56	11.59	18
1999	13	8892	684.00	40.24	17
2000	24	6897	287.38	17.96	16
2001	16	3208	200.50	13.37	15
2002	19	16813	884.89	63.21	14
2003	14	3215	229.64	17.66	13
2004	27	5053	187.15	15.60	12
2005	19	3323	174.89	15.90	11
2006	26	4802	184.69	18.47	10
2007	26	4897	188.35	20.93	9
2008	19	3838	202.00	25.25	8
2009	41	7281	177.59	25.37	7
2010	20	4502	225.10	37.52	6
2011	31	6047	195.06	39.01	5
2012	20	3236	161.80	40.45	4
2013	11	1843	167.55	55.85	3
2014	5	633	126.60	63.30	2
2015	3	325	108.33	108.33	1
Total	406	104715	257.92	12.90	20

CPP=Average citations per paper

			d Papers in Range: 1996-2	
Citation Range	No of Highly Cited Papers	Total Citations	Publications Share	Citations Share
100-198	290	38098	71.43	36.38
200-297	48	11734	11.82	11.21
307-369	26	8573	6.40	8.19
402-589	23	10858	5.67	10.37
607-774	10	6658	2.46	6.36
841-944	2	1785	0.49	1.70
1079-1596	4	5530	0.99	5.28
3148-12244	3	21479	0.74	20.51
Total	406	104715		

three papers each, 240 contributed 4 papers each, 22 contributed 4 tributed 5 papers each, 72 contributed 6 papers each, contributed 7 papers each, and 32 contributed 8 paper each. It shows that frequency distribution of authors highly cited papers in computer science is not significant.

s	
n.	
n-	
49	
ers	

	Table 4: Author Productivity in ComputerScience in India: 1996-2015					
Authors per publication	No. of Publication	Total Authorship				
1	29	29				
2	148	296				
3	110	330				
4	60	240				
5	22	110				
6	12	72				
7	7	49				
8	4	32				
9	3	27				
11	1	11				
12	2	24				
13	1	13				
15	2	30				
18	1	18				
24	1	24				
32	1	32				
80	1	80				
150	1	150				
Total	406	1567				

5

Gupta et al.: Highly Cited Publications Output by India in Computer Science

S.No	Name of the Author	Affiliation	TP	тс	СРР	FP-RP	FP	RP	MCI
1	S. Vaidyanathan	Dr S.R.University, Chennai	21	2591	123.38	19	2		0.952
2	K. Deb	Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur	11	16808	1528.0	8			0.727
3	S. Bandyopadhyay	Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata	11	921	83.73	4	2	1	0.500
4	V. Sundarapandian	Dr S.R.University, Chennai	9	1259	139.89	4			0.444
5	S. Das	Jadavpur University, Kolkata	8	2745	343.13	2	1		0.313
6	N.R. Pal	Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata	8	1995	249.38	2	1		0.313
7	S.K. Pal	Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata	7	1792	256.00	2			0.286
8	N. Garg	Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi	6	1002	200.40	4			0.667
9	P. Sarasu	Dr S.R.University, Chennai	5	648	129.60	5			1.000
10	S.R. Murthy	Indian Institute of Technology, Madras	5	641	128.20	1			0.200
11	B.B. Chaudhuri	Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata	5	721	144.20	2		2	0.600
12	G.P.S. Raghava	Institute of Microbial Technology, Chandigarh	5	949	189.80			3	0.300
13	R.K. Mallik	Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi	4	1077	269.25	1			0.250
14	B.N.Chatterji	Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur	4	1442	360.50				0.000
15	K. Roy	Jadavpur University, Kolkata	4	914	228.50		1	3	0.500
16	S.K.Shevade	Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore	4	1472	368.00		1	1	0.250
17	S.Mitra	Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata	4	1623	405.75	3	1		0.875
18	A.Konar	Jadavpur University, Kolkata	3	939	313.00				0.000
19	B.Singh	Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi	3	343	114.33	3			1.000
20	A.Kumar	Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore	3	447	149.00	3			1.000
21	N.B.Mehta	Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore	3	486	162.00				0.000
22	H. Singh	Institute of Microbial Technology, Chandigarh	3	706	235.33	2			0.667
23	A. Jain	Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur	3	504	168.0	2			0.667
24	C. Bhattacharyya	Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore	3	1294	431.33				0.000
25	K.R.KMurthy	Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore	3	1294	431.33	1			0.333

FP=Number of papers with first authors; RP=Number of papers with corresponding authors; TP=Total Papers; MCI=Major Contribution Index

Table 6: Distribution of Highly 1996-2015	Cited F	apers ac	ross Type	es of S&	T Resea	r ch O r	ganiza	ations:
Type of Organization	TP	тс	СРР	%TP	FP-RP	FP	RP	MCI
Institutes of National Importance	244	73847	302.65	60.10	113	1	3	0.47
Universities	81	14648	180.84	19.95	55	1	0	0.69
Industrial Enterprises	56	6560	117.14	13.79	23	0	0	0.41
Engineering Colleges	43	11277	261.09	10.59	21	0	0	0.49
Research Institutes	38	6749	177.61	9.36	21	0	0	0.55
Non-Profit & Others	11	3316	301.45	2.71	1	0	0	0.09
					234	1	3	

FP=Number of papers with organizations affiliating to first authors; RP=Number of papers with organizations affiliating to corresponding authors; TP=Total Papers; MCI=Major Contribution Index

6

Top 25 Contributing Authors in Computer Science Research

Top 25 contributing authors each with at least 3 highly cited papers were ranked on publication volume of their output in computer science (Table 5). Their major contribution index MCI index varied from 0.0 to 1.0. MCI greater than 0.500 indicates that the author has high potential to conduct research independently, contribute to research productivity significantly, or play more prominent role in research collaboration. On the contrary low MCI is a sign of heavy reliance on others to play leadership role in conducting research or in research collaboration.

In this study no correlation was found between their rank & Science, Pilani (CPP=310.0 and Publication Rank=14), order and MCI index. In other words MCI is independent Jadavpur University, Kolkata (CPP=258.78 and Publication of size of contributions by authors. Of the top 25 authors, Rank=9), Defense R&D Organization (CPP=237.33 and only three authors, namely P. Sarasu, A.Kumar and Publication Rank=18), Indian Institute of Technology, B.Singh registered the highest MCI value of 1 despite Bombay (CPP=230.39 and Publication Rank=8), etc. ranking low in publication count rank at 9, 19 and 20. The other significant authors with high MCI values were In terms of MCI, Mepco Schlent Engineering College, S. Vaidyanathan (MCI=0.952, Publication Rank=1), S.Mitra Sivasaki and Dr S.R.University, Chennai registered the (MCI=0.875, Publication Rank=7), K.Deb (MCI=0.727, highest value of 1.0 each with publication rank 19 and Publication Rank=2), N. Garg (MCI=0.667, Publication 5, followed by Jadavpur University, Kolkata (MCI=0.96 Rank=2), H. Singh (MCI=0.667, Publication Rank=22), and Publication Rank=9), Indian Statistical Institute, A. Jain (MCI=0.667, Publication Rank=23), etc. The Kolkata(MCI=0.74 and Publication Rank=1), Institute of contributing authors high impact included K. Deb (CPP = Microbial Technology, Chandigarh, Indian Institute of of 1528 Publication Rank=2), followed by C. Bhattacha-Technology, Roorkee and Defense R&D Organization ryya and K R K Murthy (CPP=431.33 each and Publication (MCI=0.67 each and Publication Rank=12, 13 and 18), Ranks= 24 and 25), S.Mitra (CPP=405.75 AND Publication etc. (Table 7). Rank=17), B. N. Chatterjee (CPP=360.50 and Publication Rank=17), S. Das (CPP=343.13 and Publication Rank =5), Of the 148 Indian organizations contributing to computer

etc. science research, 39 were industrial enterprises, 33 universities & colleges, 32 engineering colleges, 27 research institutes, Top 20 Organizations in Computer Science Research 12 institutes of national importance and 5 non-profit and other organizations. Among the 406 highly cited papers, In all 898 organizations (148 Indian and 750 foreign) 67 resulted from contribution with single organization had contributed to 406 highly cited papers in computer each, 129 papers with 2 organizations each, 58 papers with science research in India during 1996-2015. Of the 148 3 organizations each, 30 papers with 4 organizations each, Indian research organizations, only 44 were comparatively 5, 6, 7 and 8 papers each with 11, 7, 4, 6 and 5 organizamore productive, with each contributing 2 to 46 highly tions, and more than 9 papers by 1, 2 and 3 organizations cited papers in computer science during 1996-2015. The respectively (Table 8). other 104 were not so good productivity organizations, contributing just one publication each during the same Collaboration in Highly Cited Papers period.

Of all the 406 highly cited publications in computer science, Institutes of National Importance dominated the publications 130 resulted from co-authors from the same single parent output of highly cited papers in computer science with organization (no-collaboration), 67 from national collabolargest share (60.10%, 244 papers), followed by universities ration with co-authors/multiple authors from Indian orga-(19.95% share, 81 papers), industrial enterprises (13.79% nizations (national collaborative publications), and 209 share, 56 papers each), engineering colleges (10.59% share, from international collaboration with co-authors/multiple 43 papers), research institutes (9.36% share, 38 papers), authors from Indian and foreign organizations (international non-profit & others (2.71% share, 11 papers) during 1996-2015. In terms of citations per paper, institute of national collaborative publications) (Table 10). Contrary to expectations, single institution publications had scored higher importance registered the highest citation impact of citation impact with 281.08 citations per publication 302.65, followed by non-profit and others (301.45), engineering colleges (161.09), universities (180.84), research compared to International collaborative publications with

institutions (177.61) and industrial enterprises (117.14). In terms of MCI, universities registered the highest value of 0.69, followed by research institutions (0.55), engineering colleges (0.49), institutes of national importance (0.47), industrial enterprises (0.41) and non-profit & other institutions (0.09) (Table 6).

In terms of citation per paper, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur registered the highest citation impact of 734.15 (with Publication rank of 7), followed by Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore (CPP=383.48 and Publication Rank=3), Anna University, Chennai (CPP=312.0 and Publication Rank=16), Birla Institute of Technology

S.No	Name of the Organization	TP	тс	CPP	TP	(FP, RP)	FP	RP	MCI
1	Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata	46	10218	222.13	46	32	1	3	0.74
2	Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi	46	8123	176.59	46	20		1	0.45
3	Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore	42	16106	383.48	42	16	2	2	0.43
4	Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur	33	6836	207.15	33	12	1		0.38
5	Dr S.R.University, Chennai	28	3140	112.14	28	28			1.00
6	Indian Institute of Technology, Madras	26	5934	228.23	26	8			0.31
7	Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur	26	19088	734.15	26	13		1	0.52
8	Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay	23	5299	230.39	23	10	1		0.46
9	Jadavpur University, Kolkata	23	5952	258.78	23	20	2	2	0.96
10	Microsoft Research, Bangalore	13	2113	162.54	13	7			0.54
11	IBM India	9	1558	173.11	9	1	1		0.17
12	Institute of Microbial Technology, Chandigarh	6	1118	186.33	6	4			0.67
13	Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee	5	624	124.80	5	2		2	0.60
14	Birla Institute of Technology & Science, Pilani	5	1550	310.00	5				0.00
15	Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati	4	497	124.25	4	1		1	0.38
16	Anna University	3	936	312.00	3	1			0.33
17	Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai	3	409	136.33	3	1			0.33
18	Defense R&D Organization	3	712	237.33	3	2			0.67
19	Mepco Schlent Engineering College, Sivasaki	3	555	185.00	3	3			1.00
20	Indian Institute of Management, Kolkata	3	646	215.33	3	1			0.33

TP=Total Papers; FP=Number of first-author top cited articles; RP=Number of corresponding top-cited articles

Affiliating Organizations per Publication	Number of Papers	
1	67	
2	129	
3	58	
4	30	
5	11	
6	7	
7	4	
8	6	
9	5	
10	3	
11	2	
12	1	
13	2	
14	1	
16	1	
20	1	
22	1	
28	1	
45	1	
52	1	

Table 9: Citation Performance of Highly Cited

Total

Citations

36541

12441

55733

104715

Average

Citations

Publication

281.08

185.69

266.67

257.92

per

Publications by Collaboration Type: 1996-2015

Publications

130

67

209

406

257.92 citations per publication and national collaborative

publications with 185.69 citations per publication (Table 9).

Collaborative Profile of Organizations: Authors from Single

In all, 284 authors from 35 organizations collaborated in

groups of various sizes (mainly from same parent organi-

Total

Type of

Collaboration

Co-authors with

their affiliation to same single

Institution

National

collaborative

Publications

International

collaborative

Publications

Institution

			01 11)
			(7 pap
2	2	0.60	Unive
		0.00	Univer
			and JN
1	1	0.38	Resear
1		0.33	
1		0.33	Institu
			(2 pap
2		0.67	IGCA
3		1.00	Center
			and In
1		0.33	each);
			Institu
			Gandh
			Coimb
			(1 pap

zation) to publish 130 highly cited papers (32.02% share) of national importance, 26 from 23 universities, 9 from 9 in computer science. Of the 130 single-institution highly research institutes, 20 from 17 engineering colleges, and cited papers, 69 were from 9 institutes of national impor-10 from 10 industrial enterprises; and 6 from 4 other tance, 34 from 8 universities, 13 from 9 research institutes, organizations. 6 from 5 engineering colleges, and 6 from 4 industrial

The organizations which collaborated in these 67 highly cited papers include: : (i) Institutes of National Importance- ISI-Kolkata (16 papers), IIT-Delhi (8 papers), IIT-Kanpur and IISc-Bangalore (6 papers each), IIT-Bombay (3 papers), IIT-Guwahati (2 papers), IIM-Kolkata and AIIMS-New Delhi (1 paper each); Universities -Dr SR Technical University, Chennai (7 papers), Jadavpur University, Kolkata (6 papers), Singhania University, Jhungbanu (papers), CMJ University, Shillong (3 papers), MLS University, Udhaipur, MD University, Rohtak, pers), Anna University, Tiruv* and VIT Technical MM University, Mullapur, APS University, Rewa, Pariyar ersity, Vellore (2 papers each), Visva-BHarati University, Salem, Mother Teresa Womens University, ersity, Santiniketan, GITAM University, Visakhapatnam Kodaikanal, Visva Bharati, Santineketan, Vidyasagar N Technology University, Hyderabad (1 paper each); University, Amity University Noida, Annamalai University, rch institutes: IMTECH, Chandigarh (4 papers), INT Technical University, Hyderabad, Thapar University, Patiala, University of Kalyani, Aligarh Muslim University, ute of Development Research in Banking, Hyderabad MLS University College of Science, Udhaipur, College of pers)and NIIST-Tiruvanathapuram, CLRI-Chennai, Pharmacy, MUC Women's College, Burhmpur, University AR-Kalpakkam, IICT-Hyderabad, BARC-Mumbai, College of Science & Technology, Kolkata and Barrackpore er for Artificial Intelligence & Robotics, Bangalore Rastaguru Surenath College, Kolkata (1 paper each); Enginstitute for Mathematical Science, Chennai (1 paper neering Colleges - Government College of Engineering-Enngineering colleges-NIT-Surat (2 papers) and DA Kalyani (6 papers), Mepco Schlenk Engineering College, ite of Information & Communication Technology, Sivaski (3 papers), Government College of Engineering, hinagar, IIIT-Pun, Amrita School of Engineering, Tirunelveli and PEC-Changigarh (2 papers each) and

enterprises. The detail of these organizations are as follows: (i) Institutes of National Importance - ISI-Kolkata (18 papers), IIT-Kharagpur and IIT-Delhi (11 papers each), IISc-Bangalore (8 papers), IIT-Madras (7 papers), IIT-Kanpur and IIT-Bombay (6 papers each), IIT-Roorkee (3 papers) and IIT-Guwahati (1 paper); Universities - Dr. S R University & Vel Tech University, Chennai (11 papers), University of Hyderabad (9 papers), Jadavpur University, Kolkata batore and Bengal Engineering College, Howrah (1 paper each); Industrial enterprises – Microsoft Research The distribution of 67 papers by participating institution India Bangalore (3 papers) and Sun MIcrisystem India Ltd, was as follows: 61 papers each with 2 participating Bangalore, TCS Ltd, Kolkata and Hewlett Packard Labs, organizations, 5 papers each with 3 participating organiza-Bangalore (1 paper each). tions and 1 paper with 4 participating organizations. The institutional authorship to 67 national collaborative papers The distribution of 130 papers by authorship per publicaaveraged to 2.10 collaborating organizations per paper.

tions was as follows: 29 papers resulted from contribution by 1 author each, 63 by 2 authors each, 29 by 3 authors The distribution of 67 papers by authorship per publications each, 5 by 4 authors each, 2 by 5 authors each, and 2 by was as follows: 33 papers were contributed by 2 authors 6 authors in all. The authorship to 130 papers averaged to each, 25 publications each by 3 authors each, 8 publications contributed by 4 authors each and 1 paper contributed by 2.18 authors per publication. 5 authors. The authorship to 67 papers averaged to 2.66 Of the 130 single institution publications, 100 papers collaborating authors per publication.

resulted from single authors (serving both as first author and corresponding author), 40 from authors serving Of the 67 national collaborative publications, 50 papers resulted from authors serving both as first author and only as first author, and 20 from authors serving only as corresponding author. corresponding author, 24 from authors serving only as first author, and 10 from authors serving only as corresponding Collaborative Profile of Organizations: National Collaborative author.

institutions

In all, 178 authors from 141 Indian organizations collaborated in groups of various sizes (coming from 2 or more organizations) to publish 67 national collaborative highly cited In all, 1105 authors from 1107 Indian and foreign organipapers (16.50% share) in computer science. Of the 67 national zations representing 45 countries collaborated in groups collaborative highly cited papers, 42 were from 9 institutes of various sizes (from Indian and foreign organizations) to

Collaborative Profile of Organization: International Collaborative Institutions

publish 209 international collaborative highly cited papers (51.48% share) in computer science. Of the 209 international collaborative highly cited papers, 116 were from 11 institutes of national importance, 18 from 13 engineering colleges, 23 from 11 universities, 13 from 13 research institutes, and 42 from 30 industrial enterprises.

Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore and Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi contributed the largest number of papers (29 each), followed by Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay and Indian Institute of Technology, Madras (19 papers each), Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur (15 papers), Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur (14 papers), Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata (13 papers), Jadavpur University, Kolkata (10 papers, Microsoft Research India Bangalore (7 papers), IBM Research Lab, Delhi (5 papers), Birla Institute of Technology & Science, Pilani (4 papers), Indian Institute of Management, Bangalore and S.R.Technical University, Chennai (3 papers each), Anna University, Chennai, Bell Labs- Lucent Technologies, Bangalore, The Yahoo Labs, Bangalore, Institute of Microbial Technologies, Chandigarh, CV Raman College of Engineering, Bhubaneshwar and Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai (2 papers each) and rest of the organizations contributed 1 paper each (Table 10).

The distribution of 209 international collaborative highly cited papers by participating organizations was as follows: 68 papers resulted from 2 participating organizations in each, 53 from 3 participating organizations in each, 29 from 4 participating organizations in each, 11 with 5 participating organizations in each, 7 with 6 participating organizations in each, 4 with 7 participating organizations in each, 6 with 8 participating organizations in each, 5 with 9 participating organizations in each, and 3 with 10 participating organizations in each. The average number of participating organizations per paper was 5.30.

The distribution of 209 papers by authorship per paper was as follows: 52 publications resulted from participation of 2 collaborating authors in each, 56 from participation of 3 collaborating authors in each, 47 from 4 collaborating authors in each, 19 from 5 collaborating authors in each, 10 from 6 collaborating authors in each, 7 from 7 collaborating authors in each, 4 from collaborating 8 authors in each, and 3 from collaborating 9 authors in each. The average number of collaborating authors per paper was 5.29.

The distribution of collaborating countries per publication was as follows: 141 publications resulted from participation of 2 collaborating countries in each, 45 from participation of 3 collaborating countries in each, another 12 from participation of 4 collaborating countries in each, 5 from participation of 5 collaborating countries in each, 2 each from participation of 6 and 7 collaborating countries, and 1 each from participation of 11 and 18 collaborating countries. The average number of collaborating countries per publication was 2.61.

Of the 46 collaborating countries in 209 highly cited papers in computer Science from India, United States participated in the largest number of collaborating publications (138), followed by U.K (22 papers), Canada (18 papers), Singapore (15 papers), France (14 papers), Japan (12 papers), Switzerland (10 papers), etc.

In their contribution to 209 international collaborative publications, 320 collaborating authors served both as first author and corresponding authors, 116 served as first author only, and 56 served as corresponding author only. Besides, 140 foreign authors served both as first author and corresponding author, 40 served as first author only and 20 as corresponding authors only. From India, 180 collaborating authors served both as first author and corresponding author, 76 served as first author and 36 as corresponding author only.

Medium of Communication

Journals play an important role in the communication structure of science. Of the 406 highly cited papers in computer science research from India, 370 were published in 150 peer-reviewed journals (Impact Factor information was available for 143 journals only). No significant correlation was found between citations to highly cited papers and impact factor of their reporting journals (Table 11)

Of 150 journals, 85 reported one highly cited publication each, 23 (13.33%) reported two publications each, 11 (11.11%) reported three publications each, 9, 6 and 2 journals reported four, five and six publication respectively and 2, 1 and 6 journals reported seven, eight and nine publications respectively and 3, 1 and 1 journals reported 10, 11 and 14 publications respectively. Table 12 (given at the end) lists the top 65 journals which published 2 or more highly cited publications. IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation published largest number of the highly cited publications (14 papers), followed by Pattern Recognition (11 publications), IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man & Cybernetics. Part B, IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communication and IEEE Transactions on Information Theory (10 papers each), IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communication, Applied Soft Computing Journal, Fuzzy Sets & Systems, Pattern Recognition Letters and Computer (9 papers each), IEEE Transaction on Industrial Electronics (8 papers), Bioinformatics and IEEE Communication Magazine (7 papers each), Expert Systems with applications and International Journal of Control Theory & Applications (6 papers each), etc.

Country	ТР	тс
USA	138	3856
U.K.	22	3889
Canada	18	3323
Singapore	15	4956
France	14	2569
Japan	12	394
Switzerland	10	2512
China	8	1453
Norway	8	202
Taiwan	7	138
South Korea	7	1182
Australia	7	130
Germany	7	971
Italy	6	968
Israel	5	706
Denmark	4	920
Hong Kong	4	740
Poland	4	228
Spain	4	153
Egypt	4	441
Netherlands	3	187
Chile	3	194
Greece	3	609
Total		

TP=Total Papers; FP=Number of first-author top cited articles; RP=Number of corresponding top-cited articles

Impact Factor		370 Highi	y Cited Pap		nan Comput	er Science by C						
IF Range	Range of Citations											
2015	100-199	200-299	300-399	400-499	500-599	600 & More	Total					
6.0 & More	15	5	2	2	1	3	28					
5.0 - 5.99	20	4	1	2	1	5	33					
4.0 - 4.99	12	6	2	0	0	0	20					
3.0 - 3.99	36	5	7	2	2	3	55					
2.0 - 2.99	83	12	4	2	1	3	105					
1.0 – 1.99	66	12	8	5	0	4	95					
0.00 - 0.99	31	2	0	1	0	0	34					
Total	262	46	24	14	6	18	370					

Gupta et al.: Highly Cited Publications Output by India in Computer Science

in Highly Cited Papers in Computer Science:

СРР	Numb	er of publicat	ions wi	ith
	Total	Both FP and RP	FP	RP
279.48	138	88	1	1
176.77	22	10		
184.61	18	7		
330.40	15	7		2
183.50	14	3		
328.92	12	3	1	
251.20	10	2	1	
181.63	8	1		
253.13	8			
197.57	7	2		
168.86	7	1		
186.00	7	4		
138.71	7	3		
161.33	6			
141.20	5	1		
230.00	4	2		
185.00	4	1		
570.25	4	1		1
384.75	4			
110.25	4			
625.00	3	1		
646.67	3			
203.00	3	2		

Gupta et al.: Highly Cited Publications Output by India in Computer Science

Table 1	2: List of journals publishing 2 or more high cited pa	apers		
S.No	Name of the Journal	IF	NP	Papers Citations
1	IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation	5.905	14	12279, 1273, 663, 509, 313, 278, 223, 188, 182, 159, 142, 129, 124, 109
2	Pattern Recognition	3.399	11	612,554, 354, 307, 231, 196, 169, 134, 119, 116, 102
3	IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man & Cybernetics. Part B	6.22	10	619, 447, 201, 183, 168, 149, 142, 127, 121, 106
4	IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communication	3.672	10	500, 442, 359, 319, 317, 293, 114, 105, 101, 101
5	IEEE Transactions on Information Theory	1.737	10	315, 309, 201, 197, 184, 177, 144, 131, 124, 105
6	IEEE Transactions on Image Processing	3.73	9	946, 322, 152, 142, 125, 124, 110, 101, 100
7	IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communication	2.925	9	466, 347, 298, 234, 186, 151, 133, 132, 120
8	Applied Soft Computing Journal	2.857	9	247, 167, 167, 157, 138, 125, 122, 119, 107
9	Fuzzy Sets & Systems	2.098	9	328, 194, 153, 130, 120, 115, 107, 101, 101
10	Pattern Recognition Letters	1.586	9	308, 248,195, 153, 143, 135, 121, 113, 110
11	Computer	1.115	9	3160, 419, 407, 370, 324, 313, 265, 248, 102
12	IEEE Transaction on Industrial Electronics	6.383	8	1081, 697, 293, 179, 157, 126, 125, 107
13	Bioinformatics	5.766	7	414, 217, 178, 153, 139, 117, 110
14	IEEE Communication Magazine	5.125	7	1585, 437, 165, 153, 140, 135, 132
15	Expert Systems with applications	2.981	6	264, 192, 114, 111, 103, 103
16	International Journal of Control Theory & Applications	0.95	6	142, 135, 129, 123, 119, 107
17	IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems	6.701	5	447, 321, 210, 119, 103
18	Information Sciences	4.95	5	280, 272, 208, 143, 123
19	IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks	4.854	5	368, 325, 208, 191, 110
20	Journal Of Chemical Information & Modeling	2.88	5	266, 167, 135, 114, 108
21	IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking	2.186	5	182, 181, 161, 158, 135
22	IEEE Micro	1.091	5	342, 146, 141, 123, 107
23	Wireless Networks	1.006	5	663, 284, 249, 119, 106
24	Evolutionary Computation	3.6	4	610, 338, 237, 139
25	Mechanical Systems & Signal Processing	2.771	4	174, 149, 108, 105
26	Information & Management	2.163	4	256, 152, 130, 110
27	IEEE Signal Processing Letters	1.661	4	272, 226, 146, 101
28	International Journal of Modeling, Identification & Control	1.57	4	139, 124, 110, 104
29	Mobile Networks & Applications	1.538	4	231, 120, 118, 108
30	Journal of Chemical Information & Computer Science	1.33	4	266, 198, 167, 102
31	IEEE Software	0.82	4	194, 159, 138, 117
32	International Journal of Soft Computing	0.26	4	146, 143, 137, 131
33	IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis & Machine Intelligence	6.077	3	507, 356, 256
34	ACM Computing Surveys	5.243	3	6091, 151, 144
35	Computer Physics Communication	3.635	3	174, 153, 137
36	IEEE Network	2.899	3	204, 147, 111
37	Journal of Materials Processing Technology	2.539	3	129, 124, 104
38	CAD Computer-Aided Design	2.47	3	417, 257, 127
39	Computational Materials Science	2.086	3	133, 116, 108
40	Biomedical Signal Processing & Control	1.521	3	158, 149, 118
41	IEEE Internet Computing	1.4	3	152, 123. 102
42	Studies in Computational Intelligence	1.05	3	137,113, 102
43	Algorithmica (New York)	0.795	3	247, 202, 112

Continued...

Table 1	.2: Cont'd.			
44	PLOS Computational Biology	4.587	2	163, 123
45	Computers & Structures	3.425	2	129, 116
46	Computer & Design	3.24	2	416, 127
47	IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man & Cybernetics. Part A	2.86	2	293, 180
48	IEEE Transactions on Parallel & Distributed Computing	2.661	2	121, 101
49	Journal of Computational Physics	2.556	2	118, 100
50	Neurocomputing	2.392	2	150, 109
51	Journal of Network & Computer Applications	2.331	2	710, 115
52	IEEE Transaction on Communication	2.298	2	322, 284
53	IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man & Cybernetics. Part C	2.171	2	610, 147
54	Signal Processing	2.063	2	118, 116
55	Medical & Biological Engineering & Computing	1.797	2	608, 108
56	Image & Vision Computing	1.766	2	447, 125
56	Machine Learning	1.719	2	188, 167
57	Computer Networks	1.446	2	441, 138
58	Multimedia Systems	1.41	2	336, 278
59	Mathematics & Computer Modeling	1.366	2	194, 108
60	IEEE Communication Letters	1.291	2	148, 122
61	Journal of Algorithms	1.25	2	134, 119
62	SIAM Journal of Computing	0.841	2	182, 160
63	European Journal of Scientific Research	0.63	2	134, 121
64	IBM Journal of Research & Development	0.626	2	179, 167
65	International Journal of Systems Signal Control & Engineering Applications	0.45	2	134, 112

Top 10 Highly Cited Papers

exhibit typical early peak, reaching their citation peak in 5 years since publication (Thakkar, K.N. et al. Nanomedi-Of the top 10 highly cited papers, 8 were published during cine, 276 citations) (Rahman. I et al. Biochemical Phar-1996-2002 and other 2 during 2010-2011. Two papers macology, 488 citations), ii) papers that exhibit delayed were published in IEEE Transaction on Evolutionary recognition, delayed citation peak, reaching their citation Computation [IF=5.905], and 1 each in ACM Computer peak in 13-14 years since publication. In overall, life cycle Surveys [IF=5.243], Computer [IF=1.115], Computer Methods of highly cited papers (TC2015> 100) lasted from 6 to in Applied Mechanics & Engineering [IF=2.203], IEEE 14 years and that they all enter decline in their citation after Communication Magazine [IF=5.125], IEEE Transaction on reaching their peak. As can be seen, highly cited papers Industrial Electronics [IF=6.383], IEEE Transaction on Image effectively have dated life cycle but they differ significantly Processing [IF=3.73], and Neural Computation [IF =1.626]. in their cumulative citations output (TC2015) varying One paper was published in conference proceedings. from 774 to 12279 citations (Table 15, Figure 2). It is signi-Table 15 lists these 10 leading papers in computer science ficant to note that effective from 2010 papers a more-rapid research from India with a TC2015= 12279. Both citation rise in citation numbers, and needed relatively fewer years numbers and ranking for the TC2015 are displayed. The to reach their citation peak. If such a trend continues to top ranking paper - "A fast and elitist multi-objective stay, high percentile articles will certainly reach their citation peaks even faster and would need relatively fewer years genetic algorithm: NSGA-II" was published by Deb, K., Pratap, A., Agarwal, S., Meyarivan, T. in IEEE Transactions since their publication (Table 14, Figure 2). on Evolutionary Computation in 2002 and had TC2015 of 12279.

Effect of Time Period on Citations Output

India published a total of 406 highly cited articles in com-Citation life cycle of highly cited papers published in the puter science, constituting 0.32% world share during time period 1996-2015 exhibit two trends i) papers that 1996-15. Only such papers that received at least 100

12

Gupta et al.: Highly Cited Publications Output by India in Computer Science

DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION

Table 13: List of Top 10 Most Highly Cited Papers in Clinical Pharmacology

Gupta et al.: Highly Cited Publications Output by India in Computer Science

		тс	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
1	Deb, K. et al. IEEE Trans on Evolutionary Computation,6(2), 182-97	12279							2	69	82	172	295	476	620
2	Jain, A.K. et al. ACM Computing Surveys 1999;31(3):264-323	6048				2	2	38	68	122	182	288	362	476	539
3	Sandhu, R.S. et al. Computer1996;29(2):38-47	3040	3	6	7	18	27	56	72	116	148	224	250	324	283
4	Deb, K. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics & Engineering 2000, 186(2-4):311-38	1597						3	8	20	44	44	54	80	105
5	Hara, S et al. IEEE Communication Magazine1997;35(12):126-33	1480			3	16	24	62	88	121	208	175	151	163	123
6	Das, S. et al. IEEE Trans on Evolutionary Computation feB 2011;15(1):4-31	1273													
7	Kouro, S. et al. IEEE Trans on IndustriXal Electronics Aug 2010;57(8):2555-80	1389													
8	Srinivasan Reddy, B. et al. IEEE Trans on Image Processing 1996;5(8):1266-71	904		6	4	6	9	17	22	23	39	52	65	53	64
9	Yang, X. S. et al. 2009 World Congress on Nature and Biologically Inspired Computing Controlled Release	846													
10	Keerthi, S.S. et al. Neural Computation March 20011;3(3):637-49	774						2	9	13	24	29	46	56	51

Table 13: List of Top 10 Most Highly Cited Papers in Clinical Pharmacology	Ta	able 14: Citations to Top 10 Mo
1. Deb, K., Pratap, A., Agarwal, S., Meyarivan, T.		
A fast and elitist multiobjective genetic algorithm: NSGA-II (Article)	1	1 Deb, K. et al. IEEE
(2002) IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, 6 (2), pp. 182-197. Cited 12244 times.		Trans on Evolutionary
2. Jain, A.K., Murty, M.N., Flynn, P.J.		Computation,6(2), 182-97 2 Jain, A.K. et al. ACM
Data clustering: A review (Conference Paper)		Computing Surveys
(1999) ACM Computing Surveys, 31 (3), pp. 264-323. Cited 6087 times.		1999;31(3):264-323
3. Sandhu, R.S., Coyne, E.J., Feinstein, H.L., Youman, C.E.	3	3 Sandhu, R.S. et al.
Computer role-based access control models (Review)		Computer1996;29(2):38-47
(1996) Computer, 29 (2), pp. 38-47. Cited 3148 times.	4	4 Deb, K. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics &
4. Deb, K.		Engineering 2000,
An efficient constraint handling method for genetic algorithms (Article)		186(2-4):311-38
(2000) Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 186 (2-4), pp. 311-338. Cited 1596 times.	5	5 Hara, S et al. IEEE Communication
Kanpur Genetic Algorithms Lab. (K., Dept. Mech. Eng., Indian Inst. T., Kanpur, India		Magazine1997;35(12):126-33
5. Hara, S., Prasad, R.	e	Das, S. et al. IEEE Trans on
Overview of multicarrier CDMA (Review)		Evolutionary Computation feB
(1997) IEEE Communications Magazine, 35 (12), pp. 126-133. Cited 1582 times.		2011;15(1):4-31
6. Das, S., Suganthan, P.N.	7	7 Kouro, S. et al. IEEE Trans on IndustriXal Electronics Aug
Differential evolution: A survey of the state-of-the-art (Article)		2010;57(8):2555-80
(2011) IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, 15 (1), art. no. 5601760, pp. 4-31. Cited 1273 times.	8	
7. Kouro, S., Malinowski, M., Gopakumar, K., Pou, J., Franquelo, L.G., Wu, B., Rodriguez, J., Perez, M.A., Leon, J.I.		IEEE Trans on Image Processing 1996;5(8):1266-71
Recent advances and industrial applications of multilevel converters (Article)	ç	č
(2010) IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 57 (8), art. no. 5482117, pp. 2553-2580. Cited 1079 times.	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	World Congress on Nature
8. Srinivasa Reddy, B., Chatterji, B.N.		and Biologically Inspired
An FFT-based technique for translation, rotation, and scale-invariant image registration (Article)		Computing Controlled Release
(1996) IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 5 (8), pp. 1266-1271. Cited 944 times.	1	0 Keerthi, S.S. et al. Neural Computation March
9. Yang, XS., Deb, S.		20011;3(3):637-49
Cuckoo search via Lévy flights		
(2009) 2009 World Congress on Nature and Biologically Inspired Computing (Conference Paper),		
NABIC 2009 - Proceedings, art. no. 5393690, pp. 210-214. Cited 841 times.		
10. Keerthi, S.S., Shevade, S.K., Bhattacharyya, C., Murthy, K.R.K.	1	I Deb, K. et al. IEEE Trans on Ev 2002; 6(2):18
Improvements to Platt's SMO algorithm for SVM classifier design (Article)	2	. ,
(2001) Neural Computation, 13 (3), pp. 637-649. Cited 774 times.		323

citations since their publication till August 2015 were covered in this study. The publications and citations data for the study was sourced from Scopus database. Though citation in research evaluation is viewed as an acknowledgement of intellectual debt and scientific progress but highly cited papers illustrate high quality performance in science and a useful tool for quality assessment of key (most influential) contributors to a given research field.

A total of 406 highly cited papers in computer science were published across 150 Indian and foreign journals with IF 6.0 and above. IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation published largest number of the highly cited publications (14 papers, 3.49%), followed by Pattern Recognition (11 publications, 2.70%), IEEE Transactions on Systems (10 papers, 2.46%), Man & Cybernetics Part B (10 papers, 2.46%), IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communication (10 papers, 2.46%) and IEEE Transactions on Information Theory (10 papers, 2.46%), etc.

These 406 highly articles have received 104715 citations their citation impact averaged to 257.92 citations per paper, with annual CPP ranging between 108.33 (lowest in 2015) and 884.89 (highest in 2002). Quality and impact of highly cited papers was compared on a citation density metric. It was highest with 108.33 CPP/PCY in the year 2015, the smallest with 11.59 CPP/PCY in the year 1998. High surge in citation density data of highly cited papers over time in particular during 2010-15 up from 37.52 to 108.33 CPP/PCY implies that computer science research

		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1	Deb, K. et al. IEEE Trans on Evolutionary Computation 2002; 6(2):182-97	817	1064	1171	1262	1563	1760	1807	1119
2	Jain, A.K. et al. ACM Computing Surveys 1999;31(3):264- 323	554	520	521	525	578	549	489	275
3	Sandhu, R.S. et al. Computer 1996; 29(2):38-47	273	254	237	224	203	192	160	80
4	Deb, K. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics & Engineering 2000; 186(2-4):311-38	135	130	162	186	175	201	157	96
5	Hara, S et al. IEEE Communication Magazine Dec 1997;35(12):126-33	117	76	53	50	57	38	41	19
6	Das, S. et al. IEEE Trans on Evolutionary Computation feB 2011;15(1):4-31			73	151	262	263	327	197
7	Kouro, S. et al. IEEE Trans on Industrial Electronics 2010;57(8):2555-80		311	105	127	211	239	217	179
8	Srinivasan Reddy, B. et al. IEEE Trans on Image Processing 1996;5(8):1266-71	86	88	83	76	94	55	71	33
9	Yang, X. S. et al. 2009 World Congress on Nature and Biologically Inspired Computing Controlled Release		4	26	59	117	188	262	190
10	Keerthi, S.S. et al. Neural Computation 2001;13(3):637-49	53	62	66	78	96	69	82	40

in India has significantly improved in its quality and impact.

Citation life cycle of highly cited papers published in the time period 1996-2015 exhibit two trends i) papers that exhibit typical early peak, reaching their citation peak in 5 years since publication (Thakkar, K.N. et al. Nanomedicine, 276 citations) (Rahman. I et al. Biochemical Pharmacology, 488 citations), ii) papers that exhibit delayed recognition, delayed citation peak, reaching their citation peak in 13-14 years since publication. It is significant to note that effective from 2010 papers a more-rapid rise in citation numbers, and needed relatively fewer years to reach their citation peak. If such a trend continues to stay, high percentile articles will certainly reach their citation peaks even faster and would need relatively fewer years since their publication

Of the 148 Indian organizations which contributed to computer science research during 1996-2015, 39 were industrial enterprises, 33 universities & colleges, 32 engineering colleges, 27 research institutes, 12 institutes of national importance and 5 non-profit and other organizations. Institutes of National Importance dominated the publications output of highly cited papers in computer science with largest share (60.10%, 244 papers), followed by universities (19.95% share, 81 papers), industrial enterprises (13.79% share, 56 papers each), engineering colleges (10.59% share, 43 papers), research institutes (9.36% share, 38 papers), non-profit & others (2.71% share, 11 papers) during 1996-2015.

The top 10 most productive institutes in computer science research include Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata (Output = 46, CPP = 222.13), Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi (Output = 46, CPP = 176.59), Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore (Output = 42, CPP = 383.48), Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur (Output = 33, CPP = 207.15), Dr S.R. University, Chennai (Output = 28, CPP = 112.14), Indian Institute of Technology, Madras (Output = 26, CPP = 228.23), Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur (Output = 26, CPP = 734.15), Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay (Output = 23, CPP = 230.39), Jadavpur University, Kolkata (Output = 23, CPP = 258.78), and Microsoft Research, Bangalore (Output = 13 CPP = 162.54).

In terms of MCI, Mepco Schlent Engineering College, Sivasaki and Dr S.R.University, Chennai registered the highest value of 1.0 each with publication rank 19 and 5, followed by Jadavpur University, Kolkata (MCI=0.96 and Publication Rank=9), Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata(MCI=0.74 and Publication Rank=1), Institute of Microbial Technology, Chandigarh, Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee and Defense R&D Organization (MCI=0.67 each and Publication Rank=12, 13 and 18

respectively), etc. MCI varies from 0.0 to 1.0. MCI greater than 0.500 indicates that the author has high potential to conduct research independently, contribute to research productivity significantly, or play more prominent role in research collaboration. On the contrary low MCI is a sign of heavy reliance on others to play leadership role in conducting research or in research collaboration.

Authorship to 406 highly cited papers varied widely from 1 to 150 authors per paper with an average of 3.86 authors per paper. Sole authorship was limited to 7.14% share in output, joint authorship to 36.45% output, and multiple authorship to 56.40% output. Multiple-authorship (3 -150 authors per paper) in computer science research is increasingly becoming the mode. It signals the onset a trend towards team based/ multi-institutional collaborative research to produce high quality research in computer science.

The top leading authors in computer science research ranked on major contribution index are : P. Sarasu, (MCI=1 Publication Rank=9) A.Kumar (MCI= 1, Publication Rank=19) and B.Singh (MCI= 1, Publication Rank=20), S. Vaidyanathan (MCI=0.952, Publication Rank=1), S.Mitra (MCI=0.875, Publication Rank=7), K.Deb (MCI=0.727, Publication Rank=2), N. Garg (MCI=0.667, Publication Rank=2), H. Singh (MCI=0.667, Publication Rank=22), A. Jain (MCI=0.667, Publication Rank=23), etc. The contributing authors high impact included K. Deb (CPP = of 1528 Publication Rank=2), followed by C. Bhattacharyya and K R K Murthy (CPP=431.33 each and Publication Ranks= 24 and 25), S.Mitra (CPP=405.75 AND Publication Rank=17), B. N. Chatterjee (CPP=360.50 and Publication Rank=17), S. Das (CPP=343.13 and Publication Rank =5).

This study observed that internationally collaborated papers averaged higher citation rate per paper (204.1) relative to nationally collaborated papers (140.1). International collaboration is an indispensable to quality of computer science research. Of 406 highly cited papers, 229 resulted from international collaboration across 45 countries. United States participated in the largest number of publications (138), followed by U.K. (22), Canada (18), Singapore (15), Japan (12), Switzerland (10), China (8), Norway (8), Taiwan (7), South Korea (7), Australia (7), Germany (7), Italy (6), Israel (5), Denmark, Hong Kong, Poland, Spain, And Egypt (4 each), and Netherlands, Chile, and Greece (3 each).

CONCLUSION

India's productivity of highly cited papers in computer science by authors is still not as significant as expected given the fact that as many as 208 authors were able to contribute just one paper each once in a long span of 15 years, and more so because India's world share of high cited papers in computer science has been abysmally just at 0.32%. Besides, the country didn't show a promisi rising trend in its rate of growth in its output of high cited papers over time. It remained range bound betwee 2 to 11 papers per year. The slow growth rate of hi quality papers in computer science is indicative of dea of high profile/high productivity scientists and of high productivity scientific institutions in computer science the country; it is a matter of great concern. Notably, but of the output of high quality and high impact resear in computer science in India has resulted from select academic and research organizations/institutions worki in isolation and not in collaboration. Team based/ mul institutional research in computer science was limited select few highly cited papers. The challenge before top leadership in science in the country is how to encour team-based/multi-institutional collaborative research order to produce and publish high quality and high imp research work in computer science.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT MISSING???

CONFLICT OF INTEREST MISSING???

ABBREVIATION USED MISSING???

How to cite this article: Gupta BM and Dhawan SM. Highly Cited Publications Output by India in Computer Science 1996-15: A Scientometric Assessment. J Scientometric Res. 2017;6(2):xx-xx.

		REFERENCES
ghly low sing ghly veen nigh arth igh- ie in bulk arch top cing ulti- d to the rage n in pact	 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 	REFERENCES India Brand Equity Foundation (IBEF). IT & ITeS Industry in India Report. www.ibef.org/industry/information-technology-india.aspx. Singh, Mayank, Pramanik, Soumajit and Chakraborty, Tanmoy. Publndia: A Framework for Analyzing Indian Research Publications in Computer Science <i>D-Lib Magazine</i> November/ December 2015;21(11/12). Das, Anup Kumar and Karanjai, Aruna Institutional distribution in computer science research in India : A study. <i>Annals of Library and Information Studies</i> 2002;49(1):23-7. Gupta, B. M., Kshitij, Avinash and Verma, Charu. Mapping of Indian computer science research output, 1999-2008. <i>Scientometrics</i> February 2011;86(2):261-83 Gupta, B. M., Kshitij, Avinash and Singh, Yoginder. Indian computer science research output during 1999-2008: Qualitative Analysis <i>DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technology</i> , November 2010;30(6):39-54 Singh, Vivek Kumar, Uddin, Ashraf and Pinto, David . Computer science research: The top 100 institutions in India and in the world. Scientometrics August 2014;104(2):529-53 Uddin, Ashraf and Singh, Vivek Kumar.Mapping the computer science research in SAARC Countries <i>IETE Technical Review</i> 2014;31(4):287-96 Singhal, Khushboo, Banshal, Sumit Kumar, Uddin, Ashraf
		and Singh, Vivek Kumar. A scientometric analysis of computer science research in India. Contemporary Computing (IC3), 2015 Eighth International Conference on, NOIDA, 20-22 Aug. 2015, Page(s):177 – 182 DOI: 10.1109/IC3.2015.7346675, Publisher: IEEE
	9	Gupta B.M. Bala Adarsh and Sharma Nandini Ranking of Indian

- 9. Gupta, B.M., Bala, Adarsh and Sharma, Nandini. Ranking of Indian institutions contributing to computer science research. 1999-2008. DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technology. November 2011:31(6):460-68.
- 10. Chuang, Kun-Yang and Ho, Yuh-Shan, A bibliometric analysis of top-cited articles in pain Research. Pain Medicine 2014;15(5):732-44.